## The Electronic Factor in the Face Selection of Iron Tricarbonyl Complexation

Ashis Mukhejee, Elise M.M. Venter, and W. J. le Noble\*

Department of Chemistry, State University of New York, Stony Brook, NY 11794 William H. Watson and Ram P. Kashyap

Department of Chemistry, Texas Christian University, Box 32908, Fort Worth, Texas 76129

**Abstract: The iron** nicarbonyl complexes of I-(2-adamantylidene)-2-methylenecyclohexane and of the 5 fluoroadarnantylidene analog have been prepared. Both the syn and anti isomers were obtained in the latter case; the major product has the  $\frac{\text{syn}}{\text{conf}}$  configuration as established by means of X-ray diffraction. The reaction therefore joins several others in which reagents of various types were added to a trigonal center  $C_2$  in adamantanes carrying an electron withdrawing substituent at  $C_3$ : this attack also, occurs preferentially at the zu face.

The addition of a reagent to a trigonal center has the important stereochemical consequence that two isomers may form depending on the face selected for attack, in all cases except  $C_{2V}$  symmetrical substrates. The choice can be influenced by many factors, among which steric crowding and conformational preference are two obvious ones. Much recent interest has been lavished upon the electronic factor, by means of which sometimes rather remote substituents can effect the isomer ratio.<sup>1</sup> To study this effect, we have made much use of 5-substituted adamantanes  $1-X$  with a trigonal center at C<sub>2</sub>, since this probe is free from the dual problems of simultaneous steric difference and of conformational uncertainty. It was found that electron withdrawing substituents  $X$  promote syn approach



of the reagent and electron donors promote anti approach. We have interpreted the cause of this effect in terms of transition state hyperconjugation, a concept proposed by Cieplak\* to account for the preferrred axial addition of nucleophiles to the carbonyl carbon of rigid cyclohexanones. The presumption is that

the antiperiplanar bonds hyperconjugate with the incipient  $\sigma^*$  orbital, and that syn approach will therefore be preferred if X is strongly electronegative.

Little is known<sup>3</sup> about electronic effects on the stereochemistry of complexation of dienes by the iron tricarbonyl group, and we have adopted our probe so as to provide us with a species 2-X that contains an s-cis 1,3-diene moiety but retains the advantages of sterically equivalent faces and conformational rigidity.\*

Heating of the parent diene with a fourfold excess of iron pentacarbonyl in n-butyl ether for 24 hours did not result in the complete consumption of 2-H (see experimental), and complex 3-H could be isolated in analytical purity with a 43% yield. The same reaction with 2-F gave a 70% yield of a mixture of  $E$ - and  $Z$ - 3-F which could be analyzed by means of <sup>19</sup>F NMR integration; a 3:2 ratio of adducts was found. Thick layer chromatography allowed complete separation of the two isomers. Both were found to be stable under the conditions of their formation; several variations in the ratio of the reactants or reaction time did nor change the product ratio.



The  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra of 3-H and of Eand  $Z - 3$ -F all showed the twenty signals required by their structures; seven of the signals of each of the 3-F isomers are split by the  $^{19}$ F atom as expected. The signals of 3-H were found to be unaffected by the shift reagent  $Eu(fod)_{3}$ , hence the  $C_4$  and  $C_{8-10}$  signals could not be assigned. Consequently, the  ${}^{13}$ C NMR chemical shifts additivity method' could not be applied to assign the configurations of 3-F. Fortunately, good quality crystals of the major isomer could be obtained and analysed by means of X-ray diffraction: this isomer has the Zconfiguration (Fig.  $1$ ). The reaction that produced it is therefore evidently subject to the same electronic factor that appears to control all other additions to trigonaI Fig. 1 --Ortep of  $Z - 3$ -F carbon that we have studied to date.

## Experimental

Iron  $\pi$ -1-(2-adamantylidene)-2-methylenecylohexane tricarbonyl (3-H). Diene 2-H<sup>4</sup> (100 mg, 0.44 mM) was dissolved in n-butyl ether (3 mL, distilled from  $P_2O_5$ ), under nitrogen; Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> (343 mg, 1.76 mM) was added and the mixture heated to reflux for 24 hours. The diene was not completely consumed, but longer reaction times resulted in the formation of unidentified side products. The solvent was removed under pressure and the residue was chromatographed (silica gel, hexane). Complex 3-H was isolated as a pale yellow solid that was crystallized from hexane at -78 $^{\circ}$  C (70 mg, 43%). Mp, 109.5-110.5 $^{\circ}$  C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ 0.9-3.4 (m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ 22.31, 23.08, 27.82, 27.90 (CH, by APT), 28.62 (CH), Y11.23, 31.80 (CH), 36.66, 38.26, 38.44, 38.63, 40.41, 41.31 (CH), 43.44, 87.30, 102.70, 104.58, 209.64, 210.00, 212.50. Anal. calcd. C, 65.23; H, 6.56. Fd. C, 65.42, H, 6.68.

Iron  $\pi$ -1-(5-fluoroadamant-2-ylidene)-2-methy lenecyclohexane tricarbonyl (3-F). --Diene 2-F<sup>4</sup> (106 mg, 0.45 mM) was treated to the same conditions except that the heating was to 80<sup>o</sup> for 17 h and then to reflux for 11 h. The residue showed the presence of 2 spots of similar  $R_F$  value. <sup>19</sup>F NMR of the crude mixture showed two peaks in the ratio of 60:40. Plate chromatography (hexane) gave samples of both complexes in pure form.

Major isomer  $(Z-)$ : Mp 142-143<sup>o</sup> C. <sup>19</sup>F NMR, 300 MHz, CDCl<sub>1</sub>,  $\delta$  -58.0. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 300 MHz, CDCl<sub>1</sub>,  $\delta$  1.0-3.0 (m). <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  22.22, 22.92, 28.27, 31.04, 31.76 (J<sub>CF</sub> = 8.5 Hz), 34.36 (J<sub>CF</sub>  $= 6.5$  Hz), 36.87, 38.85 (J<sub>CF</sub> = 10.9 Hz), 41.15, 41.40, 43.03 (J<sub>CF</sub> = 17.1 Hz), 43.88 (J<sub>CF</sub> = 10.3 Hz), 44.56  $(J_{CF} = 17.7 \text{ Hz})$ , 81.77, 92.01  $(J_{CF} = 184.3 \text{ Hz})$ , 103. 21, 103.98, 209.05, 209.39, 216.50.

Minor isomer (E-): Mp 122-123<sup>0</sup> C. <sup>19</sup>F NMR, 300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ -57.4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  1.0-3.0 (m). <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  22.22, 22.92, 27.62, 31.05, 31.31 (J<sub>CF</sub> = 8.9 Hz), 33.58 (J<sub>CF</sub>  $= 5.4$  Hz), 34.97, 38.55, 39.01, 42.50 (J<sub>CF</sub> = 17.1 Hz), 43.01 (J<sub>CF</sub> = 10.7 Hz), 43.13 (J<sub>CF</sub> = 7.8 Hz), 46.70  $(J_{CF} = 16.5 \text{ Hz})$ , 80.85, 91.93  $(J_{CF} = 184.2 \text{ Hz})$ , 103.55, 104.41, 208.96, 209.44, 216.68.

X-ray diffraction study. --A pale yellow crystal of  $Z-3-F$  of dimensions 0.45x0.25x0.2 mm was mounted on an R3m/ $\mu$  update of a Nicolet P2, diffractometer. Unit all dimensions were obtained from a least squares refinement of 25 reflections. Crystal data:  $C_{20}H_{23}O_3$ FFe, M, = 386.30, monoclinic; P21/n;  $a = 13.434$  (5),  $b = 10.064$  (3),  $c = 14.597$  (4)  $\lambda$ ;  $\beta = 116.41$  (2)°;  $V = 1767.6$  (8)  $\lambda$ <sup>3</sup>;  $Z = 4$ ,  $D_r = 1.450$ gcm<sup>-3</sup>;  $\mu = 8.76$  cm<sup>-1</sup>; F (000) = 808. Intensity data were collected by the Y-scan technique (3  $\leq$  2 $\Theta$   $\leq$ 55<sup>o</sup>) with a variable scan rate (4-29.3<sup>o</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) and graphite monochromated radiation (Mo K $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda$  = 0.71073 Å). A total of 5168 reflections were collected of which 4038 were independent ( $R_{int} = 0.0064$ ) yielding 3257 with intensities greater than  $3\sigma(I)$ . Lorentz and polarization corrections and a Y-scan based absorption correction were applied. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by a blockcascade least-squares technique. The structure was refined to  $R = 0.0452$  and wR = 0.0467 with 313 parameters and 3257 reflections giving  $S = 1.597$ ,  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.023$  and the largest peaks in a final difference map of -0.28 and 0.55 eÅ<sup>-3</sup>. The funtion  $\Sigma w(F_o)$  -  $F_o$ )<sup>2</sup> was minimized with  $\omega = [\sigma^2(F_o)]$ + 0.00017 F<sub>o</sub><sup>11</sup>. All programs were supplied by Nicolet Instument Corp. for Desktop 30 Microeclipse and Nova 4/C configuration with atomic scattering factors and anamalous dispersion corrections from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography.

Acknowledgement --W. le Noble thanks the NSF for supporting this investigation. E. Venter thanks her Department of Chemistry at the Universiteit van Pretoria, 0002 Pretoria, South Africa for the opportunity of a leave.

## References and footnotes

(1) For a recent example and references, see V. R. Bodepudi and W. J. le Noble, J. *Org. Chem., 56, 2001 (1991).* 

*(2) A. S. Cieplak, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 103, 4540 (1981).

*(3)* We are indebted to Professor L. A. Paquette for calling our attention to this fact. For a thorough review of the chemistry of organoiron complexes, see A. J. Fatiadi, *J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Techrwl., 96, 1* (1991). The steric factor was not excluded in all examples in which both stereoisomers were observed. (a) C. Amith and D. Ginsburg, *Tetrahedron, 30, 3415 (1974). (b)* U. Steiner, H.-J. Hanson, K. Bachmann, W. von Philipsborn, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 60, 643 (1977). (c) L. A. Paquette, J. M. Photis, R. P. Micheli, *J. Am. Chem. Sot., 99,7899* (1977). (d) B. M. R. Bandara, A. J. Birch, B. Chauncy, L. F. Kelly, J. *Org. Met.* Chem., 208, C31 (1981). (e) B. M. R. Bandara, A. J. Birch, W. D. Raverty, J. *Chem. Sot., 1755* and *1763 (1982).* 

*(4)* M. -h. Lin, M. K. Boyd, W. J. le Noble, J. *Am. Chem. Sot.,* 111, 8746 (1989).

*(5). S.* Srivastava, C. K. Cheung, and W. J. le Noble, *Magn. Reson. Chem., 23, 232 (1985).* 

 $* * *$ 

(Received in USA 11 March 1992)